

DAILY NEWS ANALYSIS

Romeo-Juliet Clause in POCSO Act: Supreme Court's Observations, Child Protection and Adolescent Rights

Faculty/Author: Faculty Editorial Board**Date:** 12 October 2026**Platform:** Civil Service Gurukul Research Wing**Reading Time:** 5 Mins

The Supreme Court has highlighted concerns about the application of the POCSO Act to consensual adolescent relationships and suggested consideration of a narrowly framed Romeo-Juliet clause. Such an exception could address cases involving adolescents close in age while maintaining strong safeguards against sexual exploitation, coercion, and abuse. This UPSC-focused article explains the POCSO Act, the proposed legal reform, constitutional dimensions, judicial concerns, implementation challenges, and its relevance to GS Paper II, social justice and child rights.

CIVIL SERVICE GURUKUL

UPSC CURRENT AFFAIRS 2026

GS PAPER II

SOCIAL JUSTICE

1. Why Is the Romeo-Juliet Clause in the News?

The Supreme Court of India has highlighted concerns regarding the application of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, to genuine consensual adolescent relationships.

In January 2026, the Court urged the Union Government to consider introducing a **Romeo-Juliet clause** to address cases involving adolescents who are close in age.

The proposal seeks to distinguish genuine peer relationships from sexual exploitation and abuse.

UPSC Relevance: Child rights, Article 21, social justice, judicial reform, constitutional morality, personal liberty and criminal justice administration.

2. Supreme Court Judgment: Key Facts

Particular	Details
Case	State of Uttar Pradesh v. Anurudh & Anr.
Judgment Date	9 January 2026
Citation	2026 INSC 47
Judges	Justice Sanjay Karol and Justice N. Kotiswar Singh
Principal Concern	Age determination, proper judicial procedure and misuse of protective legislation
Reform Suggested	Consideration of a Romeo–Juliet clause

Major Observations

- Protective legislation may be misapplied in some cases involving young people in relationships.
- Families opposing relationships may sometimes initiate criminal proceedings.
- Disputes about age must be examined using lawful procedures.
- The Court raised concerns about misuse of criminal law to settle personal scores.
- The Union Government was asked to consider suitable legislative measures.

Legal Clarification: The Court suggested consideration of legislative reform. It did not itself enact a Romeo–Juliet exemption.

3. What Is the POCSO Act, 2012?

The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 is a special law designed to protect children from sexual assault, sexual harassment and offences involving child sexual abuse material.

Key Features

Child Protection

A child is a person below 18 years of age.

Gender-Neutral Protection

The Act protects children irrespective of gender.

Special Courts

Provides for designated courts to handle offences.

Child-Friendly Procedures

Seeks to reduce trauma during investigation and trial.

Mandatory Reporting

Provides reporting duties for specified offences.

Confidentiality

Protects the identity and privacy of children.

Important Provisions

Provision	Subject
Section 2(d)	Definition of a child: below 18 years
Sections 3–6	Penetrative sexual assault and aggravated forms
Sections 7–10	Sexual assault and aggravated sexual assault
Sections 11–12	Sexual harassment
Section 19	Reporting of offences
Section 34	Procedure where the accused is a child and age determination by the Special Court

4. What Is a Romeo–Juliet Clause?

A Romeo–Juliet clause generally refers to a narrowly framed legal exception or safeguard for genuine consensual relationships between young people close in age.

Its purpose is to distinguish peer relationships from coercion, exploitation, abuse of authority and predatory conduct.

Illustrative Safeguards

- Clearly defined age-proximity limits.
- Careful examination of coercion and exploitation.

- Safeguards against abuse of power or authority.
- Protection of children's privacy and welfare.
- Independent judicial scrutiny.
- Retention of strong penalties for sexual abuse.

Important: No specific age gap has been enacted under POCSO as a Romeo–Juliet exception. Any age threshold would require a legally valid framework.

5. Why Is Such a Clause Being Discussed?

A. Consensual Adolescent Relationships

Some cases involve young people who are close in age and describe their relationship as consensual.

The legal challenge is to distinguish such situations from genuine abuse and sexual exploitation.

B. Family Opposition

Courts have expressed concern that protective legislation may sometimes be invoked by families opposing relationships between young people.

C. Consequences of Criminal Proceedings

Criminal prosecution can have significant consequences for the young people involved, including disruption of education, family life and psychological well-being.

D. Need for Proportionate Justice

A carefully designed law must protect children while ensuring that criminal sanctions are applied fairly.

6. Constitutional Dimensions

Provision	Relevance
Article 14	Equality before law and non-arbitrariness
Article 15(3)	Special provisions for children
Article 21	Life, personal liberty, dignity and privacy
Article 39(e) and (f)	Directive Principles concerning child welfare and protection

The central constitutional challenge is balancing the State's obligation to protect children with fairness, dignity and personal liberty.

Children's evolving capacities and developmental needs are relevant to policy design, but do not automatically remove statutory safeguards.

7. Arguments For and Against Reform

Arguments Supporting a Narrow Exception

- Could reduce disproportionate criminalisation of genuine peer relationships.
- May prevent misuse of criminal proceedings in family disputes.
- Could improve proportionality in criminal justice.
- May encourage a more child-sensitive legal response.

Concerns About Introducing an Exception

- Consent may be affected by pressure or manipulation.
- Age gaps alone may not capture unequal power dynamics.
- A poorly designed exception could be exploited by offenders.
- Children may face pressure to describe abuse as consensual.
- Investigators and courts would need clear safeguards.

Balanced UPSC View. Reform must avoid unnecessary criminalisation without weakening protection against coercion, exploitation or sexual abuse.

8. Way Forward

1. Consider a narrowly drafted close-in-age safeguard after consultation with child-rights experts.
2. Establish clear rules for assessing coercion, exploitation and power imbalance.
3. Strengthen lawful and reliable age-determination procedures.
4. Provide child-sensitive investigation and legal assistance.
5. Improve awareness of POCSO protections and obligations.
6. Ensure strong safeguards against fabricated complaints without discouraging genuine reporting.
7. Maintain effective prosecution of actual sexual abuse.

9. UPSC Prelims Quick Revision

Topic	Important Fact
-------	----------------

POCSO Full Form	Protection of Children from Sexual Offences
Enactment	2012
Definition of Child	Person below 18 years
Nature	Gender-neutral child-protection legislation
Important Section	Section 2(d): Definition of child
Supreme Court Case	State of Uttar Pradesh v. Anurudh & Anr.
Judgment Date	9 January 2026
Romeo–Juliet Clause	Proposed close-in-age legal safeguard
Current Position	No general statutory Romeo–Juliet exception under POCSO

10. UPSC Mains Answer-Writing Strategy

GS Paper II – Social Justice

Discuss the protection of vulnerable children, access to justice and child-sensitive institutions.

GS Paper II – Constitution

Analyse Article 14, Article 21, privacy, dignity and proportionality.

GS Paper II – Judiciary

Examine judicial observations, legislative reform and the separation of powers.

Suggested Answer Structure: Introduction ? Existing POCSO framework ? Judicial concern ? Arguments for reform ? Risks and safeguards ? Way forward ? Conclusion.

11. Conclusion

The Romeo–Juliet clause debate highlights a complex challenge in child-protection law.

The law must continue to protect children from sexual exploitation, coercion and abuse. At the same time, policymakers must examine whether genuine close-in-age adolescent relationships require a more proportionate legal response.

The Supreme Court's 2026 observations invite legislative consideration, not an automatic exemption from the existing law.

Final UPSC Takeaway: An effective child-protection framework must combine strong safeguards, fair procedures, constitutional values and child-sensitive justice.

12. UPSC PYQs and Practice Questions with Answers

Question Classification: The first six questions are UPSC Mains PYQs on related constitutional and child-welfare themes, paraphrased for revision. The last four are original practice MCQs, not PYQs. No verified UPSC PYQ directly asking about the 2026 Romeo–Juliet proposal is included.

Part A: Relevant UPSC Mains PYQs

UPSC MAINS 2016 | GS II

PYQ 1: National Policy for Children

Examine the principal provisions of the National Policy for Children and assess the status of its implementation.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Child survival, development, protection and participation.

The National Policy for Children, 2013 recognises children as a priority in national development.

- Health and nutrition.
- Education and development.
- Protection from violence and exploitation.
- Participation in matters affecting children.
- Coordination among implementing agencies.

Implementation challenges include uneven institutional capacity, awareness gaps, resource constraints and access to services.

POCSO Link: The Act is an important legal instrument for protecting children from sexual offences.

UPSC MAINS 2017 | GS II

PYQ 2: Right to Privacy

Examine the scope of Fundamental Rights in light of the Supreme Court's recognition of the Right to Privacy.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Privacy forms part of constitutional liberty and dignity.

In *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017), the Supreme Court recognised privacy as a fundamental right.

- Privacy is connected with Article 21.
- It also relates to dignity and autonomy.
- Privacy is not an absolute right.
- Restrictions require constitutional justification.

POCSO Link: Child protection must be balanced with confidentiality, dignity and lawful procedure.

UPSC MAINS 2022 | GS II

PYQ 3: Right to Education

Analyse the limitations of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, in encouraging education and awareness.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Legal entitlements require effective social implementation.

- Article 21A protects elementary education.
- The RTE Act provides an institutional framework.
- Awareness affects access to entitlements.

- Quality and inclusion remain important.
- Child protection supports educational continuity.

POCSO Link: Child-sensitive legal procedures can help reduce disruption to education and well-being.

UPSC MAINS 2023 | GS II

PYQ 4: Gender Justice

Explain the constitutional dimensions of gender justice with relevant provisions and judicial decisions.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Equality, dignity and protection against discrimination.

- Article 14: Equality before law.
- Article 15: Prohibition of discrimination.
- Article 15(3): Special provisions for women and children.
- Article 21: Life and personal liberty.
- Judicial protection of dignity and autonomy.

POCSO Link: POCSO is gender-neutral and protects children irrespective of gender.

UPSC MAINS 2023 | GS II

PYQ 5: Welfare of Vulnerable Groups

Critically examine whether development and welfare schemes for vulnerable groups necessarily involve a differentiated approach.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Substantive equality may require targeted protection.

Special provisions can address structural disadvantage and unequal access to public services.

- Targeted welfare can improve inclusion.
- Children require age-sensitive protection.
- Safeguards must avoid arbitrariness.
- Policies should be evidence-based.

POCSO Link: Special legal protection for children reflects their vulnerability and developmental needs.

UPSC MAINS 2024 | GS II

PYQ 6: Privacy and Personal Liberty

Explain why the Right to Privacy is intrinsic to Article 21, and discuss the legal issues surrounding prenatal DNA testing for determining paternity.

Suggested Answer and Explanation

Central Theme: Privacy, bodily integrity, dignity and lawful restrictions.

- Article 21 protects life and personal liberty.
- Privacy includes bodily and informational dimensions.
- Medical procedures raise consent concerns.
- Competing rights require careful balancing.
- Legal intervention must respect applicable safeguards.

POCSO Link: Child-related legal proceedings must protect privacy and dignity while ensuring effective investigation.

Part B: Original UPSC-Style Practice MCQs

PRACTICE MCQ 1 | NOT A PYQ

Definition of Child

Under the POCSO Act, 2012, a child is a person:

- (A) Below 14 years
- (B) Below 16 years
- (C) Below 18 years
- (D) Below 21 years

Correct Answer and Explanation

Answer: (C) Below 18 years

Section 2(d) of POCSO defines a child as a person below 18 years of age.

PRACTICE MCQ 2 | NOT A PYQ**Nature of POCSO**

Which statement is correct?

- (A) POCSO protects only girls.
- (B) POCSO is gender-neutral in protecting children.
- (C) POCSO applies only to married persons.
- (D) POCSO excludes online offences.

Correct Answer and Explanation

Answer: (B)

POCSO protects children irrespective of gender and addresses several forms of sexual offences, including specified online-related conduct.

PRACTICE MCQ 3 | NOT A PYQ**Romeo-Juliet Clause**

Which statement best describes the 2026 Supreme Court observations?

- (A) The Court repealed POCSO.
- (B) The Court enacted a new age-of-consent rule.
- (C) The Court suggested consideration of a close-in-age exception.
- (D) The Court removed all penalties for child sexual abuse.

Correct Answer and Explanation

Answer: (C)

The Supreme Court urged consideration of a Romeo–Juliet clause. It did not amend the POCSO Act.

PRACTICE MCQ 4 | NOT A PYQ**Constitutional Protection**

Which constitutional provision permits special provisions for women and children?

- (A) Article 15(3)
- (B) Article 19(1)(a)
- (C) Article 3
- (D) Article 368

Correct Answer and Explanation

Answer: (A) Article 15(3)

Article 15(3) permits the State to make special provisions for women and children.

Question Bank Summary:

- 6 relevant UPSC Mains PYQs, with years and suggested answers.
- 4 original practice MCQs, with correct options and explanations.
- Major themes: child rights, privacy, gender justice, social welfare and constitutional safeguards.

[Explore Civil Service Gurukul](#)[Enquire on WhatsApp](#)**CIVIL SERVICE GURUKUL**

UPSC | State PCS | Polity | Governance | Social Justice

CIVIL SERVICE GURUKUL

UPSC Preparation • Current Affairs • Mentorship • Test Series

Website: www.civilservicegurukul.com • Email: info@civilservicegurukul.com • Mobile: +91 9911020626